

# ecology and environment, inc.

International Specialists in the Environment

1500 First Interstate Center, 999 Third Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 Tel: (206) 624-9537, Fax: (206) 621-9832

#### **MEMORANDUM**

DATE:

March 14, 1995

TO:

Jeryl Kolb, Project Manager, E & E, Seattle, WA

FROM:

Mark Woodke, TAT-Chemist, E & E, Seattle, WA

THRU:

Michael Boykin, TAT-Chemist, E & E, Seattle, WA

SUBJ:

Inorganic Data Quality Assurance Review, Ridgefield Site,

Ridgefield, WA

REF:

Project TDD: T10-9410-028

Analytical TDD: T10-9412-004

Project PAN: EWA-0797-SB

Analytical PAN: EWA-0787-AA

The data quality assurance review of 2 water samples collected from the Ridgefield site in Ridgefield, Washington, has been completed. Priority Pollutant Inorganics analysis (EPA 6000 and 7000 series methods) were performed by Sound Analytical Services, Tacoma, WA.

The samples were numbered

T4120213

T4120223

#### Data Qualifications:

#### I Sample Holding Time: Acceptable.

The samples were collected 12-15-94 and were analyzed by 12-28-94, therefore meeting QC criteria of less than 28 days between collection and mercury analysis and less than 6 months between collection and analysis for all other elements.

#### II Calibration

#### A. Initial Calibration: Acceptable.

All ICP results were within the control limits of 90 to 110 percent of the true values. All mercury results were within the control limits of 80 to 120 percent of the true values.

#### B. Continuing Calibration: Acceptable.

All ICP results were within the control limits of 90 to 110 percent of the true values. All mercury results were within the control limits of 80 to 120 percent of the true values.



## III Blanks: Acceptable.

No blanks contained elemental contamination above the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).

## IV Interference Check Sample Analysis: Acceptable.

All parameters for the Interference Check Sample were within the control limit of 80 to 120 percent of the true values.

#### V Precision and Bias Determination: Not Performed.

Samples necessary to determine precision and bias were not provided to the laboratory.

### VI Performance Evaluation Sample Analysis: Not Performed.

Performance evaluation samples were not provided to the laboratory.

## VII Laboratory Control Sample Analysis: Acceptable.

Laboratory Control Sample results were within QC limits.

#### VIII ICP Serial Dilution: Acceptable.

The Serial Dilution results were within QC limits.

#### X Overall Assessment of Data for Use

All applicable ICP CCV analyses were performed within one hour of each other.

Results greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL were flagged as estimated quantities (J).

The overall usefulness of the data is based on the criteria outlined in the OSWER Guidance Document "Quality Assurance/Quality Control Guidance for Removal Activities, Data Validation Procedures" (EPA /540/G-90/004).

#### Data Qualifiers and Definitions

- J The associated numerical value is an estimated quantity because the reported concentrations were less than the contract required detection limits or quality control criteria were not met.
- U The material was analyzed for but was not detected. The associated numerical value is the sample quantitation limit.

# 1 INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	T. CEDUTOEC	Contract. E	c.E		20213
_ANALYTICA	T_SEKATCES	Contract: E	∝ Ľ_		
Ca	se No.:	SAS No.	: 4	15233W	SDG No.: 45233W
ater): WATE	R		Lā	ab Samp	le ID: 45233-1
: LOW_	-		Da	ate Rec	eived: 12/19/94
0.	0				
ncentration	Units (ug	/L or mg/kg dry	y w	weight)	: UG/L_
CAS No.	Analyte	Concentration	С	Q	м
7429-90-5	Aluminum		-		NR
		3.0	<del> </del>		M
			1 1		M_
	Barium				NR
7440-41-7	Beryllium	3.2	Ū		P
		4.8	U		P_ P_
7440-70-2	Calcium		_		NR
7440-47-3	Chromium_	7.7	U		P_ NR
	Cobalt		-		NR
	Copper	15.0	R	J	P_
			_		NR
		13.3	_		M_
	_		_		NR
	_				NR
					AV
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	36.2	U		P
	1		_		NR
					M
		8.4	U		P_
			_		NR
		1.0	U		M_
			-	-	NR
		52.0	_		P
0000-00-0	Silicon_		-		NR
	l i		=		
	Clarit	y Before:			Texture:
	Clarit	y After:		-	Artifacts:
)	Caster): WATE  LOW	Case No.:  ater): WATER  LOW	Case No.: SAS No.  ater): WATER  .: LOW	Case No.: SAS No.: 4  ater): WATER  Later): WATER  Low	

MW 3-13-95 311

## U.S. EPA - CLP

## INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

ab Name: SOUN	20223							
ab Code: SAS_	Ca	se No.:	SAS No.	: 452	33W	SDG No.: 45233V		
Matrix (soil/w	water): WATE	R		Lab	Sampl	e ID: 45233-2		
evel (low/med): LOW			Date Received: 12/19/94					
Solids:	0.	0						
Co	oncentration	Units (ug	/L or mg/kg dr	y wei	ght):	UG/L_		
	CAS No.	Analyte	Concentration		0			
	CAS NO.	Analyce	Concentration		Q I	M.		
	7429-90-5	Aluminum		- -	<sub>1</sub>	NR		
	7440-36-0	Antimony	3.0	<del> </del>		M_		
	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5.4			M_		
	7440-39-3	Barium		- -		NR		
	7440-41-7	Beryllium	3.2	<del> </del>				
	7440-43-9	Cadmium	4.8	ı ı—		P   P		
	7440-70-2	Calcium				NR		
	7440-47-3	Chromium	7.7	<del> </del>		P_		
	7440-48-4	Cobalt				NR		
	7440-50-8	Copper	27.6	-		P_		
	7439-89-6	Iron				NR		
	7439-92-1	Lead	6.4	-		M		
	7439-95-4	Magnesium		-		NR		
	7439-96-5	Manganese		-		NR		
	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.10	<del> </del>		AV		
	7440-02-0	Nickel -	36.2	l I——		2_		
	7440-09-7	Potassium	-			 VR		
	7782-49-2	Selenium	8.0	- -		1		
	7440-22-4	Silver	8.4	<u>u</u>				
	7440-23-5	Sodium				NR		
	7440-28-0	Thallium	6.1	爱丁		1_		
	7440-62-2	Vanadium		10		iR		
	7440-66-6	Zinc	60.2	_				
	0000-00-0	Silicon		- -		IR		
olor Before:		Ţ	Texture:					
olor After:		A	Artifacts:					
omments:						-		

MW 3-13-13-12.1